The molecular architecture of *Drosophila melanogaster* defense against *Beauveria bassiana* explored through evolve and resequence and quantitative trait locus mapping
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Abstract

Little is known about the genetic architecture of antifungal immunity in natural populations. Using two population genetic approaches, quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping and evolve and resequence (E&R), we explored *D. melanogaster* immune defense against infection with the fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. The immune defense was highly variable both in the recombinant inbred lines from the Drosophila Synthetic Population Resource used for our QTL mapping and in the synthetic outbred populations used in our E&R study. Survivorship of infection improved dramatically over just 10 generations in the E&R study, and continued to increase for an additional nine generations, revealing a trade-off with uninfected longevity. Populations selected for increased defense against *B. bassiana* evolved cross resistance to a second, distinct *B. bassiana* strain but not to bacterial pathogens. The QTL mapping study revealed that sexual dimorphism in defense depends on host genotype, and the E&R study indicated that sexual dimorphism also depends on the specific pathogen to which the host is exposed. Both the QTL mapping and E&R experiments generated lists of potentially causal candidate genes, although these lists were nonoverlapping.
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Introduction

Studies of insect immune defense have focused predominantly on immune mechanisms against bacteria and viruses, while defense against entomopathogenic fungi remains poorly understood. Understanding the molecular architecture of insect susceptibility to fungal entomopathogens has potential to guide biological control efforts. *Beauveria bassiana* is an entomopathogenic fungus that has been used to control crop pests that threaten food security for a growing international human population [Ugine et al. 2005; Li et al. 2010]. Fungal biocontrol also has major prospective public health impact through suppression of disease vector insects. For example, *B. bassiana* can be deployed for management of bed bugs [Barbarin et al. 2012] and has potential to limit mosquito in the genera *Aedes* and *Anopheles* (García-Munguía et al. 2011; Valero-Jiménez et al. 2017). Susceptibility to *B. bassiana* is genetically variable within populations of insects (Tinsley et al. 2006). This variability can provide the substrate for natural selection to increase resistance, which may thwart control initiatives. Given the broad host range of *B. bassiana*, this pathogen is unlikely to be in any strict coevolutionary arms races with its varied hosts. Thus, we can effectively use the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, which shares many homologous immune defense genes and pathways with other insects as a model for defense against this generalist fungus.

Studies with *D. melanogaster*, have already identified several genes and pathways involved in insect immune defense against fungal pathogens (Buchon et al. 2014), but much of the interindividual variation in immune defense against fungal infection still remains unexplained. Here, we used two approaches for identifying causative loci underlying variation in *D. melanogaster* defense against *B. bassiana*: QTL mapping, and evolve and
resequence (E&R). For our QTL mapping study, we used a large multiparental advanced generation reference panel called the Drosophila Synthetic Population Resource (DSPR) (http://FlyRILs.org; last accessed 9/18/21). The DSPR panel allows the estimation of effects of alleles that are at low frequency in natural populations, as long as these variants are present in the initial founder lines (Macdonald and Long 2007). Moreover, the DSPR has high power and high mapping resolution (King et al. 2012a). The DSPR has already been used to examine the genetic bases for various traits (reviewed by Long et al. 2014), including pathogen susceptibility (Duxbury et al. 2019), caffeine and boric acid resistance (Najarro et al. 2015, 2017), and methotrexate toxicity (Kislukhin et al. 2013). Using post-infection survival as a measure of immunity in the DSPR, we identified several genomic positions correlated with immunity. Males and females survived infection differently, with the direction and magnitude of sexual dimorphism being dependent on fly genotype.

In natural populations, alleles with large effects on D. melanogaster immune defense, of the type that can be identified via QTL mapping, may be selected against due to pleiotropy or trade-offs with other fitness characters. It is unclear whether there is substantial rapidly selectable variation in defense against B. bassiana in natural D. melanogaster populations. We used laboratory selection to show that populations of D. melanogaster can evolve rapidly to become more resistant to B. bassiana and identified the alleles that changed in frequency over the course of this evolution. Evolved improvements in immune defense came at a cost to uninfected longevity, demonstrating the existence of an evolutionary trade-off. Cross-resistance tests showed that selection for defense against B. bassiana did not affect resistance against bacterial pathogens.

Materials and methods
Quantitative trait locus mapping
The Drosophila Synthetic Population Resource (DSPR)

We phenotyped 296 RILs from the A1 population of the DSPR (King et al. 2012a, 2012b). For at least 8 generations prior to being phenotyped for immune defense, the RILs were moved to Cornell Biotech Glucose medium (per liter of deionized water: 82 g glucose, 82 b Brewer’s yeast, 10 g agar, 10 mL acid mix composed of 4.15% phosphoric acid by volume).

Preparation of RILs for phenotyping

Prior to each assay, 100 male and 100 female flies per RIL were anesthetized with carbon dioxide (CO₂) and placed in a 14 oz bottle with a small Petri dish of medium supplemented with yeast paste (approximately 1 teaspoon yeast mixed in 1 mL DI water). After 24 h eggs were separated into 8-dram vials at densities of 60–80 eggs/vial and given ~13 days to emerge into adults. Adults were then transferred to fresh vials daily until inoculation.

Sexes were mixed in the vials. For each RIL this process was repeated three separate times over the span of a year, with the exception of 13 RILs that were tested only twice. As such, there were 2–3 biological replicates when measuring each RIL’s immune defense. We randomized the timing of the replicate tests: a random “group” of ~50 RILs underwent egg collection on the same day. Then on day 16 from egg, a random “set” from each group, comprising ~25 RILs were inoculated. The next day, on day 19 from egg, a second set of ~25 RILs from the same group were inoculated. The three replicates tested for each RIL were tested in different groups (with the exception of 36 RILs for which some replicates were tested in the same group). Thus, RILs in the same “group” were reared together, and RILs in the same set (nested within group) were sprayed together. The division into groups and sets was necessary for handling because 878 inoculation assays were performed in total.

Inoculation of flies with B. bassiana

Flies were inoculated with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 Shahrrestani & Vandenbergh (Shahrrestani et al. 2018). Flies were briefly anesthetized with CO₂ and measured in a microcentrifuge tube to 0.5 mL, which corresponds to approximately 50 flies/sex. These 100 flies were then spread out on a small Petri plate lid placed on ice to sustain anesthetization. Flies were sprayed with 5 mL of a fungal suspension (0.034 g spores/25 mL of 0.03% Silwet) using a Spray Tower (Vandenbergh 1996), which introduced approximately 100 spores/mm² of B. bassiana to the fly cuticle. Inoculated flies were placed into cages and kept at 25°C and 100% humidity for 24 h to allow the fungus to germinate. Each cage contained 100 flies (~50 male and 50 female) that were sprayed together. Afterward, the cages were maintained at 25°C at 60–70% humidity with the usual 12/12 h-light/dark cycle. Mortality was counted daily and recorded for 10 days distinguishing the number of males and females that were dead or lost due to handling. After 10 days, the surviving flies were terminated and counted to determine the exact number of flies that were in each cage. Survival 10-days post inoculation was used as the focal phenotype (Supplementary File S2 includes raw phenotype data for the RILs).

Fungal viability check and spore count

Dried spores were stored at minus 20°C until checked for viability or dosing of insects. On the same day as each spray, we confirmed spore viability. A 2 mL suspension of a 1:1000 dilution of 0.34 g of lab grown B. bassiana in 25 mL of 0.03% Silwet was sprayed through the spray tower onto a 60 × 15 mm water agar Petri dish which was incubated at 25°C for 24 h. Following incubation one hundred spores within a central swath were inspected under a light microscope for presence or absence of a growing germ tube. Spores with a germ tube greater than or equal to the length of the spore were tabulated as living while others were considered nonviable. The ratio of living vs nonliving spores was used to determine % viability. In our study percentage viability was always >90%. To estimate deposition of spores on the arena a plastic microscope cover slip (22 × 22 mm) was placed adjacent to each group of insects sprayed with a dose. After the cover slips were dried they were placed in a 50 mL centrifuge tube with approximately 15 small glass beads and 5 mL of 0.03% Silwet in autoclaved DI water. A vortex shaker was used to dislodge spores from the coverslip into suspension (Ugine et al. 2005). Using a pipette, a drop of spore suspension was placed onto two hemocytometers. Spores were counted to estimate number of spores per mL deriving the number of spores/mm² deposited on the fly spray arena. In our study, spores/mm² were always predictable from our initial suspension and we found no reason to exclude any data due to spore viability or dosage concerns.

Data analysis

All data analysis was carried out using the R statistical programming language (R Core Team 2017). We tested for the overall effects of RIL, sex, and a RIL × sex interaction, as well as the effects of vial, group, and set on survival by fitting a set of generalized linear mixed models using the lmer function in the lme4 package (Bates et al. 2015) with a binomial distribution. The model is as follows:
The fixed effect of sex was highly significant ($z = -8.0, P < 0.001$). For the random effects, we performed likelihood ratio tests to obtain $P$-values comparing the full model shown above to a reduced model not including each random effect using the ANOVA function. The effects of vial (nested in RIL), RIL, and the RIL x sex interaction were all significant as well (vial: $\chi^2_{1} = 478.5, P < 0.001$; RIL: $\chi^2_{24} = 313.9, P < 0.001$; RIL x sex: $\chi^2_{1} = 1701.6, P < 0.001$). Both group and set (nested in group) were not significant (group: $\chi^2_{1} = 3.28, P = 0.07$; set: $\chi^2_{1} = 1.55, P = 0.21$) and dropping these did not appreciably affect the effect estimate of the fixed effect (sex). Given this, and the fact that for the vast majority of RILs, replicates were distributed among three groups, we did not include group or set in any future analyses. To estimate broad-sense heritability in the set of RILs, we fit the following model separately for males and females using the lmmer function:

$$\text{Survival} = \text{patRIL} + (1|\text{patRIL}) + (1|\text{vial}) + (1|\text{RIL}) + (1|\text{group}) + (1|\text{set})$$

We calculated variance components using the VarCorr function. Because survival is a 0/1 trait, we used a threshold model to calculate the broad-sense heritability (see Roff 1997) in the RILs.

### QTL mapping

The focal phenotype for each RIL for QTL mapping is the average focal line phenotype on the eight founder probabilities (King et al. 2012a, 2012b). We used a hidden Markov model to assign the underlying founder ancestry to each segment of each RIL with an associated probability (King et al. 2012b). At each of ~10,000 positions across the genome, we regressed the focal line phenotype on the eight founder probabilities, analyzing males and females separately. We also mapped the difference between male and female survivorship to examine dimorphism in susceptibility. We obtained qualitatively similar results to a global analysis including both males and females and a haplotype by sex interaction with RIL as a random grouping variable. We chose to present the results from the analysis of the sexes separately for ease of interpretation as well as the increased simplicity of the model, which makes using a permutation test more feasible. We used a permutation test (Churchill and Doerge 1994) to identify the genome-wide significance threshold associated with a 5% family-wise error rate and to estimate the false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). For each permutation, we calculated the average number of false QTL across all phenotypes at different significant thresholds. We first identified all peak positions for a given genome scan, then we removed any peaks that were within 2 centiMorgans of a higher peak. We then calculated the threshold that corresponded to the FDR. Here the FDR is the expected number of false positives/the expected number of total positives at a threshold of 5% and 50%. To calculate a confidence interval for each QTL peak, we used a 2-LOD drop (King et al. 2012a). We used FlyBase (www.flybase.org) to convert the 5.x coordinates given by the QTL analysis to the updated 6.x coordinates. Then we used FlyBase to identify the genes located in these regions of interest.

### Experimental evolution and resequencing

#### Selection protocol

The base population was obtained from co-author AGC and was originally created by combining 96 isofemale lines from five geographic areas in order to maximize genetic diversity (Greenberg et al. 2010). The lines were from Beijing (Begun and Aquadro 1995), Netherlands (Bochdanovits and Jong 2003), Ithaca NY, Tasmania, and Zimbabwe (Begun and Aquadro 1993). The outbred population was maintained on discrete 14-day generations in a 12:12 light/dark incubator, with developmental phase in bottles and egg-laying phase in cages for more than 50 generations prior to the start of our study. After increasing the population size of the base population slowly over six generations, we divided it into eight groups and randomly assigned these groups to four control (C$_{1-4}$) and four selected populations (S$_{1-4}$). For each of the eight populations, ~10,000 eggs were collected per generation. In the S$_{1-4}$ populations, these ~10,000 flies (estimated by volume: 2000 adult flies was approximately 5 ml of volume) were inoculated with B. bassiana (see protocol below) and then divided into five cages at densities of 2000 flies per cage. For each of the four C$_{1-4}$ populations, ~10,000 eggs were collected and after eclosion, ~2000 adults were randomly chosen, control sprayed (see protocol below) and placed into a single cage. Dead flies were removed from the cages daily, preventing secondary infection from cadavers in the fungal inoculated groups. Flies were fed daily with Petri plates filled with medium. When 80% of the flies in an S population died, surviving flies across all five cages for that population were combined to form a single cage with approximately 2000 flies and given yeast supplement in addition to the diet to promote oviposition. Over the following 1–3 days, 100 vials of eggs at densities of ~60–80 eggs/vial were collected for each population to yield over 10,000 eggs per population. This protocol was repeated for 19 generations. We directly tested for, and found no evidence for transgenerational carry over of fungal spores (data not shown). Each C$_j$ population was kept on the same timing as its corresponding S$_j$ population.

#### Beauveria bassiana inoculations and verification of dose and viability

For selection on immune defense, we inoculated flies in the same way as in the QTL mapping study described above, except every generation flies were inoculated with 7.5 ml of 0.34 g of B. bassiana spores suspended in 25 ml of 0.03% Silwet in DI water. This is a higher dose (~1000 spores/mm$^2$) than in the QTL study for two reasons: the outbred population was more robust than most of the RILs used in the QTL mapping study, and we wanted ~80% of inoculated flies to die within two weeks. For the dose response assays we diluted this suspension to 1:10, 1:100, and 1:1000. Viability and dose of B. bassiana were checked using the protocols described above. The selection dose (undiluted) was ~10$^8$ spores per mm$^2$, and the fungus was viable (>90%) in all sprays. In each infection assay, some of the flies that died were plated on SDAY media and monitored for fungal sporulation on their cuticle, confirming that flies had been infected. Control groups underwent the same treatment as the inoculated groups, but were sprayed with just 0.03% Silwet suspension (no fungus). Cadavers from the control groups did not show sporulation on their cuticle.

#### Infection resistance assays

At generations 10 and 19, we compared the C$_{1-4}$ and S$_{1-4}$ populations for divergence in resistance to four pathogens: B. bassiana ARSEF 12460, B. bassiana GHA, Providencia rettgeri, and Enterococcus
faecalis (Supplementary Files S2–S4 include raw data for these phenotypes). For the two fungal pathogens and for their matched uninfected control, the sample sizes were ~100 flies per sex per population, tested in two replicate cages. Flies were handled in the same manner as in the selection protocol, except they were kept in smaller cages to control for density effects, and dead flies were removed and sexed daily until all flies died. For the two bacterial pathogens the sample sizes were ~100 flies per sex per population, and ~40 flies per sex per population for the wounding control groups. These flies were anesthetized in groups of 15 or fewer on CO2 and pricked in the thorax with a needle dipped in dilute bacterial culture, or with a sterile needle as a wounding control, and then maintained in groups of 10 in vials (Khalil et al. 2015). Bacterial cultures were grown overnight in Luria broth at 37°C from a single bacterial colony, and then diluted with sterile LB to OD600 = 1.0 (for P. rettgeri) or OD600 = 0.5 (for E. faecalis).

Statistical analyses for phenotypes

The Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function (Kaplan and Meier 1958) and tests of significance using Cox Proportional Hazard model (Cox and Lewis 1972) were performed using the package Survival in R. Survival after infection with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 was analyzed with the following model:

\[
\text{coxph} = P + G + D + P \times G + P \times D + G \times D + P \times G \times D
\]

where \( * \) shows interaction, G represents generation (10 and 19), P represents populations (Control and Selected), and D represents the infection status (uninfected and infected with \( 10^4 \) spores/mm\(^2\)). The variables of population replicate, treatment replicate, and sex, did not significantly affect hazard ratios in preliminary analyses and were omitted from the final model. To study the effect of dose when infected with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460, we looked at the LT50, the median lethal time in days, and investigated the effect of population (S vs C), sex, generation (10 vs 19), and dose on LT50 with ANOVA.

Survival after infection with B. bassiana GHA was analyzed with the following model:

\[
\text{coxph} = S + P + G + S \times P + S \times G + P \times G + S \times P \times G
\]

where the notation is the same as for model (1), with the addition of S, which represents sex (female and male). Keeping the three-way interaction term, which was borderline significant, improved the validity of the proportional hazard and hence the fit of the model by producing a more horizontal shape in the plot of the Schoenfeld residuals, which is one of the model diagnostics. Since the interactions of sex with other factors were significant, though the marginal effect of sex was nonsignificant, we kept this term in the model to follow a hierarchical modeling approach. Unlike with ARSEF 12460 and GHA, no difference between S and C populations was observed for resistance to the two bacterial infections (E. faecalis and P. rettgeri). The hazard ratios in all sub-populations were 1. To test the significance in the difference between two survival functions, we use the log-rank test whose null hypothesis is that the two survival curves are the same. The P-values of log-rank tests to compare survival functions in each sub-group were very high, confirming that there is no evidence of difference between the survival functions, i.e., the Hazard Ratio (HR) = 1 across the board. Therefore, no further analysis was conducted on these data.

DNA extraction and sequencing

After zero and 19 generations of selection, uninfected samples of adult female flies were frozen in a − 80°F freezer. Genomic DNA was extracted from 100 female flies collected from each of nine groups: C1–4 and S1–4 populations and one from the founding population. These pools were prepared as standard 200–300 bp fragment libraries for illumina sequencing and sequenced on illumina Hi-Seq platforms within the Cornell sequencing core facility.

Mapping of reads

Reads were mapped against the D. melanogaster reference genome (version 6.14) using BWA (version 0.7.8) (Li and Durbin 2009) using bwa mem with default settings. We filtered and sorted the resulting SAM files for reads mapped in proper pairs with a minimum mapping quality of 20 and converted them to the BAM using the view and sort commands in SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). The rmdup command in SAMtools was then used to remove potential PCR duplicates. Average coverage was above 30X or greater for all populations except C3, which was 25X (Supplementary Table S1). Next, bam files for all 9 populations were combined into a single mpileup file once again using SAMtools. The mpileup file was in turn converted to a "synchronized" file using the PoPoolation2 software package (Kofler et al. 2011). This file contains allele counts for all bases in the reference genome for each population in a succinct tab delimited file. Lastly, RepeatMasker 4.0.3 (http://www.repeatmasker.org; last accessed 9/18/21) was used to create a gff file containing simple sequence repeats found in the D. melanogaster genome version 6.14. PoPoolation2 was then used to make these regions within the sync file.

Patterns of SNP variation

A SNP table was created using the sync file described above (Supplementary File S5). We only considered sites where coverage was between 15X and 200X, and for a site to be considered polymorphic we required a minimum minor allele frequency of 2%. We defined a minimum minor allele frequency of 2% across all 9 populations by first combining reads for all populations, then filtering out sites where the MAF was less than 2%. All sites failing to meet these criteria were discarded. Prior to performing any of the analyses described below, we sought to identify cases where a given nucleotide was fixed across all of the S populations but not the ancestral P populations and C populations, which may be expected for strong selection on standing variants. To assess broad patterns of SNP variation in P, C, and S populations, heterozygosity was calculated and plotted over 100 kb nonoverlapping windows directly from the major and minor counts in our SNP table. Additional analyses were performed on the distribution of minor allele frequencies (Hedrick 2011). FST estimates were made at every polymorphic site in the data set for a given set of replicate populations.

SNP differentiation

We used two different methods to characterize SNP differentiation between the C and S populations. First, we used the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test as implemented in the PoPoolation2 software package to compare SNP frequencies at
every polymorphic site in our SNP table between the two groups of populations. CMH tests between our two groups of populations were performed at each of these polymorphic sites. Note that in our experimental design the C and S populations are paired; each generation whenever ~80% of flies in S1 died, eggs were collected from both C1 and S1, such that C1 is the control population to S1. Moreover, all populations share the common ancestor. To establish a significance threshold for these tests, we first performed simulations to generate a distribution of P-values associated with a null expectation of genetic drift rather than selection (see “Simulations” section below). Briefly, we generated sets of 8 populations based on allele frequencies in the ancestral P populations, then simulated 19 generations of genetic drift. Within each set of 8 populations, half were randomly assigned as "control" and the remainder were randomly assigned as "selected." CMH tests were then performed at each polymorphic site between the two groups. This was done 100 times and all the resulting P-values were recorded. The quantile function in R was then applied to these P-values to define a significance threshold that corresponds to a genome-wide false-positive rate, per site, of 5%. This ultimately resulted in a significance threshold of approximately 3.94 × 10⁻¹⁸.

Along with the CMH test, we also compared SNP frequencies between the C and S populations using the quasi-binomial GLM approach suggested by Wiberg et al. (2017). Based on their findings, this approach is reported to have lower false-positive and higher true positive rates than the CMH tests. However, it should be noted that our simulation-based approach to correcting for multiple comparisons when using the CMH test resulted in a more stringent significance threshold than what was featured in their work. The quasi-binomial GLM test was implemented using scripts provided by Wiberg et al. (2017). As suggested by the authors, allele counts were scaled to the effective sample size (neff) as described in Kolaczkowski et al. (2011) and Feder et al. (2012). As counts of zero can lead to problems when implementing this approach (see Wiberg et al. 2017), a count of 1 was added to each allele whenever a zero was encountered. In terms of correcting for multiple comparisons, one of the reported benefits of quasi-binomial GLMs is that they produce the expected uniform distribution of p-values under the null hypothesis which allows for standard methods of correcting for multiple comparisons (Wiberg et al. 2017). To that end, instead of the simulation approach used for our CMH tests, we opted to use a Bonferroni correction, and the q-value approach (Storey and Tibshirani 2003; Storey et al. 2017). We chose these two methods as Wiberg et al. (2017) found them to be the most and least conservative approaches, respectively.

**Gene search**

We used FlyBase to search for genes within 25 kb of each of the most significantly differentiated SNPs. We separately used the Gowinda software package (Kofler and Schlotterer 2012) to identify enriched GO terms based on our candidate sites. This analysis was not impacted by the number of SNPs within a given gene. Our list of candidate sites consisted of the 45 significantly differentiated SNPs identified between the C and S populations based on our CMH comparison. The background list contained our complete SNP list based on our previously described SNP calling parameters. A gene annotation file for the D. melanogaster reference genome (6.14) was obtained from FlyBase, and a gene set file for relevant GO terms was obtained from FuncAssociate3 (Berriz et al. 2009). With these inputs, Gowinda was set to run for 10⁶ simulations with the gene-definition and mode parameters set to "gene." This analysis identified 132 GO terms with P-values < 0.05. This list was then filtered so all GO categories containing less than 2 reference genes were discarded, and the resulting list was run through GO-Module to correct for hierarchical clustering (Yang et al. 2011).

**Simulations**

To perform our genetic drift simulations, we used MimicrEE (https://sourceforge.net/projects/mimicree; last accessed 9/18/21), a forward simulation specifically designed to mimic experimental evolution. MimicrEE simulates populations of diploid individuals where genomes are provided as haplotypes with two haplotypes constituting a diploid genome. There are no changes in the demography once the initial population file is submitted and a list of selected loci may be provided. The simulated populations have nonoverlapping generations and all individuals are hermaphrodites (though selfing is excluded). At each generation, matings are performed, where mating success (number of offspring) scales linearly with fitness, until the total number of offspring in the population equals the targeted population size (fecundity selection). Each parent contributes a single gamete to the offspring. As we were only interested in simulating genetic drift, we did not specify any fitness differences between different genotypes. Crossing-over events are introduced according to a user-specified recombination rate. The recombination rates were specified for 100 kb windows and were obtained from the D. melanogaster recombination rate calculator v2.2 (Fiston-Lavier et al. 2010). As recombination does not occur in male D. melanogaster, the empirically estimated female recombination rate was divided by two for the simulations.

The starting populations used in our simulations were generated based on SNP frequencies in the ancestral P population across the 268,272 polymorphic sites along chromosome 3R. Each starting population consisted of 600 individuals. To create each individual’s genotype, two random numbers in the range (0.0, 1.0) were generated at each polymorphic site. These numbers were then compared to the ancestral data’s major allele frequency at the position. If the random number was less than the major allele frequency, the major allele was added. Otherwise, the minor allele was added. In this manner, we generated 100 sets of populations each consisting of 8 populations derived from the SNP frequencies in the P populations. All sets of populations were then subjected to 19 generations of drift. Within each set, the populations were then randomly split into two groups of 4 and the CMH tests were performed at each polymorphic site between the two groups. All P-values were recorded and, the quantile function in R was used to define a significance threshold that corresponds to a genome-wide false-positive rate, per site, of 5%.

We estimated effective population size (Ne) in our experimental populations using the Nest package in R, which was specifically designed to estimate Ne from temporal allele frequency changes in experimental evolution (Jónás et al. 2016). This analysis suggested Ne to be ~600, so we simulated populations of 600 individuals. As genotype inputs, we used SNP frequencies in P populations for the first time point and frequencies in the C populations for the second. We used the "P-plant" method for estimating Ne, which was specifically to account for the two stage sampling process associated with pool-seq data (individuals being sampled from a population, and reads being sampled from DNA pool). Ne estimates varied depending on which of the C populations was used, but the average was 592 or ~600 (Ne = 466 for C1, 616 for C2, 590 for C3, and 696 for C4).
Results

Quantitative trait locus mapping

We measured survival 10 days after inoculation with B. bassiana in 297 RILs from the DSPR. Survival ranged from 0% to 92.25% (Figure 1). For males, mean survival was 58.97% and median survival was 63.77%, and for females the mean and median survivals were 52.45% and 53.93%, respectively. The replicates tested for each RIL were similar to each other (see standard errors of the means in Figure 1); the RIL with the large differences among replicates was RIL11039, which may have been more affected by slight environmental differences among replicates. Broad-sense heritability of survival in the RILs was 0.53 for males and 0.48 for females. Note that in inbred lines, the heritability will be inflated relative to an outbred population. These estimates are consistent with the finding of a highly significant effect of RIL in the overall model.

Most RILs had a sexually dimorphic response to infection, with males and females exhibiting different probabilities of surviving 10 days after infection (Figure 1). Moreover, the magnitude and even the direction of the sexual dimorphism varied across RILs (Figure 1). In 68.35% of the RILs, on average more females than males were dead 10 days after infection, and in the remaining RILs the direction of dimorphism was reversed (Figure 1). Within RILs, the direction of dimorphism remained consistent across replicates. Across RILs, immune defense was positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.59; P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) between males and females indicating sex-independent genetic differences among the lines (Supplementary Figure S2).

The family-wise significance threshold for QTL was a LOD score of 8.07, which was similar to the 5% FDR threshold (8.1), and using either yielded the same set of QTL. We identified one significant QTL using these thresholds from the male data (Figure 2). Supplementary Figure S3 shows the means for each set of RILs that have the different haplotypes at this significant QTL. This QTL explained 12% of the variance in male survival, however, given our sample size, this estimate is likely inflated (King and Long 2017). We additionally considered a more liberal FDR of 50%. At this lower threshold, we identified three QTL peaks (one peak for males, one for females, and one for the dimorphism) (Figure 2). The precise coordinates of these peaks are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Among 297 Recombinant Inbred Lines from the Drosophila Synthetic Population Resource, we found vast diversity for 10-day survival post inoculation with the fungus B. bassiana, ranging from 0 flies surviving to 100% of flies surviving the infection. Our QTL mapping approach identified 451 genes (Supplementary

**Figure 1** Post-infection survival in the DSPR RILs. Percent of flies surviving in each RIL 10 days post inoculation with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 is shown for males (A) and females (B). Male survival subtracted from female survival gave a measure of sexual dimorphism in survival (C). In some RILs males survived better than females, in others females survived better than males, and in some there was no sexual dimorphism. Bars show standard error of the mean as each RIL was tested multiple times.
Table S3) which we explored in Flybase (www.flybase.org, last accessed 9/18/21) for their functions. Specifically, one peak at a 5% FDR corresponded to 28 genes, including jabba, known to be involved in bacterial defense, genes with functions in the endomembrane system (Vps51, CG18609, CB17821, and Mctp) and genes involved in oxidoreductase activity (Cyp12b2, CG15093, and MF514). Three peaks at a 50% FDR included an additional 423 genes. These included 21 genes involved in the endomembrane system, including ones known to have immune functions (e.g., Snap29 and gbb). Also implicated was the Romamin SSC gene cluster, which has been shown to be induced by B. bassiana infection (De Gregorio et al. 2001). Other genes with pre-determined functions in immunity included 18s, GNBP-like3, DMAP1, imd, Nxt1, and ST3. The JNK, MAPK, and JAK/STAT pathways were also implicated through several genes, specifically slim, apt, sigmar, ken, enok, and sib. Additionally, sensory perception of smell may be involved in defense against fungal infection; our study implicated odorant binding proteins (Obp) which assist in the sensory perception of smell (Rollmann et al. 2010) and EbpIII involved in odor recognition.

Experimental evolution and resequencing

The survival differences in selected and control populations with and without infection with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 are summarized in Table 1. The table shows the summary fit of the Cox Proportional Hazards model (see Materials and Methods model 1; Schoenfeld residual plots, not shown, confirmed the validity of proportional hazard assumptions). Selection for defense against B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 resulted in improved survival of infection, with selected populations living nearly twice as long when infected compared to control populations (Figure 3, Table 2). But this improved survival of infection came at a cost to survival under pathogen-free conditions, such that populations that evolved better immune defense had reduced lifespan than controls when uninfected (Figure 3, Table 2). Notably, the outbred populations in this study did not show sexual dimorphism in survival without infection, nor when infected with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460. We compared the different groups’ survivals by estimating the hazard ratio, which is a statistical measure of relative chances of death at all ages. For example, in Table 2, the estimated hazard ratio of 0.46 for infected S vs C at generation 10 means that the relative instantaneous probability of death for an infected selected fly was 46% of the instantaneous probability of death for an infected control fly, and the P-value for this comparison was P < 0.00001, implying significant divergence between survival of infection in the S and C populations. In other words, after just 10 generations, selection had resulted in substantially better survival of infection in the S populations. This difference increased by generation 19, such that at the end of the experiment, the instantaneous probability of death for an infected fly in the S populations was only 27% of the probability of death for an infected fly in the C populations (P < 0.00001) as estimated from a Cox Proportional Hazard Model. The hazard ratio (S vs C) among infected flies in generation 10 was significantly different from that of infected flies in generation 19 (the P-value of the test H0: HR_gen10 = HR_gen19 for infected groups was 0.00021), suggesting that between generations 10 and 19, the S populations continued to evolve improved survival of infection compared to the control populations.

Interestingly, after 19 generations of selection, the instantaneous probability of death for an uninfected fly in the S populations was 161% of the instantaneous probability of death of an uninfected fly in C populations (Table 2; P < 0.0002), meaning that populations that evolved improved immune defense paid the cost of reduced longevity in the absence of infection. This trade-off was not yet present at generation 10 (Table 2; P = 0.12).

Thus, among uninfected flies, the hazard ratio (S vs C) was lower at generation 10 than at generation 19 (the P-value of the test H0: HR_gen10 = HR_gen19 for uninfected groups is 0.00083).

Table 1 Analysis of survival differences in selected and control populations with and without infection with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 at generations 10 and 19

|                         | coef  | exp(coef) | se(coef) | z     | Pr(>|z|) |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|
| generation 19           | -0.341| 0.711     | 0.049    | -6.982| 2.91e-12 ***|
| population S infection  | -0.086| 0.918     | 0.049    | -1.760| 0.078    |
| generation 19 population S | 3.061 | 21.547    | 0.055    | 55.358| <2e-16 ***|
| generation 19 infection  | 0.560 | 1.751     | 0.069    | 8.117 | 4.44e-16 ***|
| population S infection  | 0.240 | 1.272     | 0.067    | 3.582 | 0.000341 ***|
| generation 19 population S: infection | -0.682 | 0.506  | 0.068    | -9.979| <2e-16 ***|
| generation 19 population S: infection | -1.113 | 0.328  | 0.097    | -11.516| <2e-16 ***|

Survival was analyzed with model 1: coxph = P + G + D + P G + P*D + G*D + P*G, where G represents generation (10 and 19), P represents populations (C and S), and D represents the infection status (uninfected and infected with 10^6 spores/mm^3). N = 7007.
We investigated the effect of population (S vs C), sex, generation (10 vs 19), and dose on LT$_{50}$ with an ANOVA model (Supplementary Table S4 and Figure S4). The LT$_{50}$ increased with decreasing dose in every subgroup, but the rate of increase varied among subgroups (Supplementary Figure S4). After 10 generations of selection for defense against the fungal entomopathogen B. bassiana ARSEF 12460, the S populations had higher survival compared to the C populations when infected with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460. After 19 generations of selection, the difference between infected S and C populations was even more pronounced. When the S and C populations were not infected, they did not differ in survival at generation 10. But at generation 19, the S populations survived worse compared to the C populations when uninfected, suggesting that their improved immune defense came at a trade-off with longevity in the absence of infection.

**Table 2** Hazard ratios from the Cox Proportional Hazard Model comparing selected (S) and control (C) populations across generation and infection status with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Generation 10</th>
<th>Generation 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>Uninfected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard ratio (S vs. C)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reported p-values test the hypothesis $H_0: HR = 1$, or no difference in hazard between the S and C populations.

Figure 3 Survival of selected and control populations with and without infection with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 at generations 10 and 19. The Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function is shown for immunity-selected S$_{1-4}$ (red) and control C$_{1-4}$ (black) populations across different combinations of infection status (columns; infection with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460) and generation (rows). The shaded area represents 95% confidence intervals. After 10 generations of selection for defense against the fungal entomopathogen B. bassiana ARSEF 12460, the S populations had higher survival compared to the C populations when infected with B. bassiana ARSEF 12460. After 19 generations of selection, the difference between infected S and C populations was even more pronounced. When the S and C populations were not infected, they did not differ in survival at generation 10. But at generation 19, the S populations survived worse compared to the C populations when uninfected, suggesting that their improved immune defense came at a trade-off with longevity in the absence of infection.

**Figure 4** The median lethal time in days, or LT$_{50}$, categorized by population type and infection dose. Data is combined across the replicate populations within each population type. Within each color, the 5 boxplots represent the 5 doses: 0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, and 1 (proportion relative to full dose of 10$^4$ spores per mm$^2$ of B. bassiana ARSEF 12460). LT$_{50}$ was significantly affected by population (C vs S, $P < 0.0001$), generation ($P = 0.036$), and dose ($P < 0.0001$), but not by sex (Supplementary Table S4, Supplementary Figure S4).

LT$_{50}$ was significantly different between the S and C populations, with S populations generally having lower LT$_{50}$ values. The LT$_{50}$ values for infected populations were generally lower than those for uninfected populations, indicating a survival advantage for infected populations. The LT$_{50}$ values for males and females did not differ significantly.
**Defense against B. bassiana GHA**

We tested whether selection for defense against B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 also conferred resistance to a second B. bassiana strain, GHA. The summary fit for the Cox Proportional Hazards model (see Methods model 2) is presented in Supplementary Table S5. All four replicate populations that were selected for resistance against B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 also became more resistant to a second fungal strain, GHA (Supplementary Figure S5 and Table S6). The magnitude of the S vs C difference in survival after infection with GHA depended on both sex and generation (Supplementary Table S5). For males, at generation 10, the instantaneous probability of death for an S fly was 62% of the probability of death for a C fly (P = 0.0002) and by generation 19, the S males were surviving even better after infection, with an instantaneous probability of death of 44% compared to the C flies (P < 0.0001). In females, the difference between C and S in post-infection survival was not significant at generation 10, with the probability of death of an S female being 82% of that of a C female (P = 0.0243) but became significant by generation 19 with 45% probability of death of S vs C (P < 0.0001). This suggests that male flies evolved cross resistance to GHA faster than female flies. While overall survival after infection with GHA was higher in S flies than C flies at both generations 10 and 19 (Supplementary Table S6), the magnitude of this difference was larger in generation 19 (Supplementary Table S5).

Further supporting the sex differences in response to selection, for infection with GHA, we saw sexual dimorphism in the hazard ratio at generation 10 (H0: HRmale, Gen10 = HRfemale, Gen10, P-value = 0.2566) with males surviving better than females, but not at generation 19 (H0: HRmale, Gen19 = HRfemale, Gen19, P = 0.7134). This difference in sexual dimorphism across generations can also be noted from the sex-by-population-by-generation interaction (P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table S5). Moreover, for both sexes, the hazard ratio (S to C) in generation 10 is statistically higher than that of generation 19 (H0: HRmale, Gen10 = HRmale, Gen19, P = 0.0066, and H0: HRfemale, Gen10 = HRfemale, Gen19, P = 0.0004). Selection for survival of ARSEF 12460 resulted in improved defense against GHA, but unlike with ARSEF 12460, defense against GHA was sexually dimorphic at generation 10.

**Defense against bacterial pathogens**

Unlike with fungal infection, no difference was observed between S and C populations for resistance to bacterial infections with E. faecalis and P. rettgeri after 10 or 19 generations of selection (Supplementary Figure S6). There was also no difference between males and females (Supplementary Figure S6). Flies infected with either bacterium were much more likely to die than uninfected (sterile pricked) flies at both generations 10 and 19 (log-rank tests P < 0.0001). However, the likelihood of death was the same between S and C populations regardless of sex or generation (log-rank tests P > 0.05).

**SNP variation**

While we observe a number of chromosomal regions with notable depressions in heterozygosity in the P, C, and S populations, we do not see a dramatic loss in genetic variation in the C and S populations relative to the ancestral P population (Supplementary Figure S7). Heterozygosity here is a quantification of population diversity, thus levels of variation are very similar in the C and S populations compared to the ancestral P population. This pattern is largely robust to changes in window size (Supplementary Figures S8 and S9). However, we do find that depressions in heterozygosity become more pronounced as window size is reduced. We find that mean heterozygosity at polymorphic sites in the ancestral P population is 0.24, and ranges from 0.23 to 0.24 in the C and S populations (Supplementary Table S1). We do not find any significant difference in mean heterozygosity between the C and S groups (t-test P-value = 0.65). Among the C populations, we find that mean genome-wide FST is 0.04. Mean genome-wide FST is also 0.04 among the S group. In both cases, this suggests a high degree of similarity between replicates of a given group. The fact that levels of FST are the same in each group is also consistent with the duration of the experiment (i.e., there was not sufficient time for drift to produce high levels of divergence between replicates of a given group).

We examined sites that were fixed in all S populations at the end of the experiment but that remained polymorphic in the P and C populations. There were ~4000 such sites distributed across chromosomes X, 2, and 3 (Supplementary Table S7). In about 98% of these instances, the frequency of the allele fixed in the S pops was > 0.8 in the ancestral population. The lowest frequency we see in the ancestral population across all of these cases is 0.65. The SNP frequencies in the control populations at these ~4000 sites follow the expectations from drift and have slight deviations from the ancestral frequencies. For comparison, we examined sites fixed in the C populations at the end of the experiment that were polymorphic in the S and P populations, which resulted in ~1000 sites (Supplementary Table S8). These ~1000 sites had high (>0.8) frequencies in the ancestral populations.

**SNP differentiation**

SNPs and corresponding P-values from the CMH and GLM analyses are shown in Supplementary Table S9. Our CMH tests (Vlachos et al. 2019) comparing SNP frequencies in the C and S populations identified a total of 45 significantly differentiated SNPs across the major chromosome arms (Supplementary Table S10). The majority of these sites were found on the X chromosome, while the remaining were split between 3R, 3L, and 2R. Mean SNP frequencies trajectories for C and S populations of the most significant SNPs in each region that crosses our significance threshold in Figure 5 are shown in Supplementary Figure S11.

In our quasi-binomial GLM results, no significantly differentiated sites were detected after the Bonferroni correction (Supplementary Figure S11A) was applied, or when the less stringent q-value approach was used to correct for multiple comparisons (Supplementary Figure S11B). Wiberg et al. (2017) report that the quasibinomial GLM approach has lower false positive and higher true positive rates than the CMH tests. However, given our simulation-based approach for correcting for multiple

![Figure 5](https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/article/11/12/jkab324/6371870)
comparisons when using the CMH test, we have a more stringent significance threshold than what was used in Wiberg et al. (2017). Comparing Q-Q plots for the two approaches (Supplementary Figure S12), the CMH test appears better suited for our data than the quasi-binomial GLM method (note the different y-axis ranges in the two Q-Q plots).

Genes
There were 294 genes under the four peaks that cross the significance threshold in Figure 5 (Supplementary Table S11). Of these, 23 were involved in the endomembrane system (Supplementary Table S11). Several genes identified are known to be involved in response to oxidative stress (Supplementary Table S11); we identified genes TotA, TotB, TotC, and TotZ which have been shown to respond to bacterial infection (Ekengren and Hultmark 2001). Other known immune defense genes were also implicated, such as GNBF3, Tskl1, PGRP-SA, and CG2247. Several genes affecting sensory perception of smell and taste were also identified (e.g., Gr10b, Or10u, Ir11a).

GO terms
Running our list of candidate sites from the CMH tests through Gowinda, a tool that allows for analysis of gene set enrichment, identified 132 significantly enriched GO terms, which include nested and overlapping annotations (Kofler and Schlötterer 2012). Discarding terms with GO categories containing fewer than 2 genes and correcting for hierarchical clustering using GO-Module (Yang et al. 2011) reduced this list to 29 enriched terms (Supplementary Table S12; see Supplementary Table S13 for genes associated with each term).

Discussion
Immune defense is a genetically complex and ecologically important trait with high levels of inter-individual variation. While much is already known about D. melanogaster immune defense against bacterial pathogens (reviewed in Buchon et al. 2014), defense against fungal pathogens has not been studied with the same depth. Yet insect defense against fungal infection has implications for biological control of crop pests and disease vectors. Here, we applied two common approaches for mapping defense against a fungal pathogen to D. melanogaster genes, quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, and experimental E&R.

Sensory perception of taste and smell came up in both our QTL and E&R studies. Sensory receptors have been previously shown to be involved in innate immunity (reviewed in Lee and Cohen 2015). Likewise, oxidative stress response, implicated in both of our studies has previously been shown to be potentially related to antifungal mechanism of the innate immune system that generate reactive oxygen species (e.g., Dantas et al. 2015). Both of our studies point to the importance of the endomembrane system in fungal immune defense. Golgi apparatus organization and function may be important in defense against fungal infection. The Golgi apparatus’ role in innate immunity is becoming more recognized, particularly as a signaling platform for facilitating immune defense pathways (Dobbs et al. 2015; Mukai et al. 2016; Chen and Chen 2018; Tao et al. 2020). GO term analysis of our E&R results also implicated the endomembrane system as well as other membrane functions. But GO term analysis as applied to E&R studies such as ours implicitly assumes that many functionally related genes will be selected in the same way. This assumption would only be true if the trait was determined by many functionally related genes that each contribute small effects to the adaptive phenotype. We do not necessarily expect this genetic architecture, and we consider this GO term analysis with some caution. If evolution of the trait is driven by a few genes with large allelic effects, then we might expect a lack of conspicuous GO enrichment, as we observe in our analysis.

The Bomanin 55C gene cluster implicated in the QTL analysis provides particularly strong candidates and these have been shown to be induced by B. bassiana infection (De Gregorio et al. 2001). Deletion of this cluster results in susceptibility to infection with fungi, although effects on resistance to B. bassiana were not directly tested (Clemmons et al. 2015; Lindsay et al. 2018). Also strong candidates are the Turandot (Tot) genes identified in our E&R study, which have been shown to be involved in the Drosophila response to stress, injury, and infection (e.g., Ekengren and Hultmark 2001).

Comparing the specific genes identified in our E&R and QTL mapping approaches, there were no direct overlaps. This could be due to different starting genetic composition of the populations and low power in each independent study. It is also possible that in the E&R study there are unintended selection pressures acting on the populations. While both approaches resulted in candidate genes that we can follow up on in future studies, the lists of candidate genes were unique. Given the complexity of the anti-fungal immunity phenotype, it is likely to be highly multi-genic, and genes involved in this phenotype are likely to be involved in gene by gene, and gene by environment interactions. Thus, the lack of overlap between our two gene lists is not an indication of a lack of replication of these genes. Instead, both gene lists can be considered for further studies.

Some genes previously known to affect immune defense (Buchon et al. 2014) are not implicated in our study. Experimentally induced mutations or gene knockdowns that reveal extreme phenotypes due to loss of gene function may not detect the complexity of gene regulation and interactions. From an evolutionary perspective, only a small subset of genes and processes that can be mutated to give a phenotype in the laboratory are expected to be responsible for segregating phenotypic variation in nature (Gruber et al. 2007). Furthermore, phenotypic variation can be shaped by genes that are not classically considered part of the immune system. Polymorphisms with smaller effect on immune defense may be identifiable in experimental evolution and resequencing studies, which can address the molecular architecture of adaptation. Previous experimental evolution and resequencing studies have not always implicated canonical genes that can affect a trait. When diverse base populations are used in thoughtfully designed selection experiments (recommendations for experimental design are offered by Kofler and Schlötterer 2014; Schlötterer et al. 2015), if known loci that affect a trait are not implicated, those loci are perhaps unlikely to be commonly involved in the trait in outbred populations where the loci are affected by forces of natural selection.

We found that D. melanogaster populations that were exposed to B. bassiana infection quickly evolved improved defense against this fungus. There is some evidence that B. bassiana may be unique in terms of insect potential to evolve resistance to the pathogen under selection pressure (Dubovskiy et al. 2013). In our study, improvement in defense evolved within 10 generations and defense continued to improve until the termination of the experiment at nineteen generations. Rapid evolution of immune defense is possible because of standing genetic variation for host resistance. In wild populations, D. melanogaster defense against B. bassiana varies regionally (Tinsley et al. 2006; Paparazzo et al. 2015). For many traits, short-term adaptation appears to result
primarily from existing genetic variants (Burke et al. 2010; Graves et al. 2017), and we would expect the same in our experiment. We maximized genetic diversity in our starting population by sampling flies from disparate geographic regions (Early and Clark 2017).

The evolution of immune defense against a fungal pathogen came at a cost to uninfected longevity, suggesting an evolutionary trade-off with a genetic basis. Evolutionary trade-offs due to antagonistic pleiotropy may act to maintain genetic variation for life history traits and immune defense (Roff 2002; Schmid-Hempel 2003; McKean and Lazzaro 2011) and may constrain the evolution and maintenance of immune defense (Lazzaro and Little 2009). The large amount of variation for fungal and bacterial immune defense within natural populations suggests that this character commonly trades-off with other fitness components, and this has been experimentally observed in Drosophila (Kraaijeveld and Godfray 1997; Luong and Polak 2007; Vijendravarma et al. 2009; Ye et al. 2009). Such tradeoffs can result from genetic correlations between fitness traits and immune defense. In addition to antagonistic pleiotropy, genetic correlations among these traits may also result from linkage disequilibrium. There are high levels of inversions in some of the founder lines used in our study, but the extent to which the resulting linkage disequilibrium affected our observed phenotypes is unknown. Some studies do not find any cost to laboratory evolved immune defense (Faria et al. 2015; Penley et al. 2018). For example, evolved immune defense through three selection regimes, oral and systemic infection with P. entomophila and systemic infection with Drosophila C virus, did not trade-off against reproductive output, development time, stress resistance, and other fitness characters (Faria et al. 2015), although Faria et al. (2015) did not examine potential trade-offs with uninfected longevity. It is possible that the costly trade-offs appear most prominently when there is a sudden shift to very high defense. For example, the selection pressure in the Faria et al. (2015) study was much weaker than in our study, with 33% of their population surviving in the first generation and the percentage increasing in later generations due to adaptation. This idea is further supported by Duncan et al. (2011), who saw costs to the protzoan Paramecium caudatum that were selected for increased defense against the bacterial pathogen Holospora undulata. However, when selection was relaxed, the fitness was restored without completely losing the evolved resistance (Duncan et al. 2011). Therefore, there may be a threshold rate at which improved immune defense can evolve without noticeable fitness costs. This could explain why there were no fitness costs observed when C. elegans populations that were formerly selected for defense against Serratia marcescens maintained their increased resistance despite 16 generations of relaxed selection (Penley et al. 2018), or why no apparent fitness costs were seen when D. melanogaster were evolved for resistance to bacterial infection (Gupta et al. 2016).

The trade-off between fungal immune defense and uninfected longevity is not axiomatic. Indeed D. melanogaster populations that are experimentally evolved for resistance against other stressors, in particular starvation and desiccation, have increased longevity (Rose et al. 1992; Bubliy and Loeschcke 2005). The increased longevity that evolves alongside stress resistance is sometimes maintained even after stress resistance reverts back to ancestral levels after a period of relaxed selection, even when the relaxed selection results from shifts in allele frequencies rather than any compensatory mutations (Phillips et al. 2018). Presumably, living longer requires effective stress resistance, and perhaps also a strong immune defense. Resistance and tolerance of infection both decline with age (reviewed in Garschall and Flatt 2018) and susceptibility to infection increases with age (Kubiak and Tinsley 2017), thus it may be expected that increases in longevity should be correlated with improvements in immune defense. Indeed, this has been observed, such that experimental evolution for delayed reproduction, which increases longevity, improves immune defense in D. melanogaster (Fabian et al. 2018). It is also possible that the presence or absence of a trade-off between lifespan and immunity depends on specific environmental factors (e.g., McKean et al. 2008).

In addition to trade-offs of immunity with fitness characters, another potential reason for populations maintaining variation in immune defense is that different genotypes are most resistant to specific pathogens, which would lead to trade-offs within the immune system for defense against different pathogens. Evolution of immune defense against one pathogen may trade-off with defense against a second pathogen. For example, D. melanogaster selected for resistance against bacteria paid a cost in the presence of viruses (Martins et al. 2013). We tested our experimentally evolved populations for cross resistance against other pathogens and found that evolution of immune defense against one strain of B. bassiana (ARSEF 12460) unsurprisingly also led to improved defense against a second strain of B. bassiana (GHA). If evolution of defense against B. bassiana was primarily through this humoral immune response, we may expect populations with increased defense against fungi to also have improved defense against Gram-positive Enterococcus faecalis, but we did not observe this. Evolved defense against fungus had no effect on defense against E. faecalis, nor against the Gram-negative bacterium Providencia rettgeri. Evolved defense may therefore be through other mechanisms than canonical immune defense pathways. For example, our analysis points to the importance of the endomembrane system function in defense against B. bassiana.

Unlike our study, Wang et al. (2017) found that in the Drosophila Genetics Reference Panel (DGRP), defense against the fungus Metarhizium anisopliae Ma549 was positively correlated with defense against the Gram-negative bacterium Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pa14. Yet no correlation was seen between resistance to enteric infection with P. entomophila and inoculation (by stabbing) with Erwinia carotovora (Steiman et al. 2015). It appears that D. melanogaster adaptation to parasites depends in part on the infection route, such that selection by oral infection against Pseudomonas entomophila did not confer resistance against systemic infection and vice versa (Martins et al. 2013). In our study, the two fungi were introduced by spray onto the fly cuticle. After contact with the cuticle, the fungus germinates and the hyphae penetrate the cuticle, presumably at multiple locations on the cuticle, and grow in the hemocoel of the fly. Our bacterial infections were done by pin prick into the fly thorax, thus leading to a localized wound on the cuticle. These different infection routes may be another reason for why we see no cross-resistance of fungal resistant populations against bacterial pathogens. But even with similar pathogens and similar infection routes, the same D. melanogaster genotypes are not resistant to all bacterial pathogens (Lazzaro et al. 2006). Defense against pathogens likely involves many genes, and potentially some of these may confer pathogen-specific defense, while others may contribute to some general aspect of robustness.

It is worth noting that in this study we used larger population sizes than those commonly used in D. melanogaster experimental evolution studies, starting our selection protocol with 10,000 individuals in each replicate population. With large population sizes, four replicates per treatment, and nineteen generations of evolution.
selection, we identified few candidate genes involved in immune defense against B. bassiana, despite the large phenotypic divergence between selected and control populations. This may be due to our study being underpowered by only having four replicate selected populations. While this level of replication is typical of existing E&R studies in D. melanogaster, some studies suggest that it may not be sufficient for detecting causal variants (Baldwin-Brown et al. 2014; Kofler and Schlötterer 2014). With current genomic tools, many more generations, and more replicates, may be needed to provide sufficient power to detect the many small-effect genes that are expected to confer immune defense.

Artificial selection experiments often display changes in SNP frequencies that appear to plateau before the end of the experiment, even as the phenotype continues to respond to selection. Parts et al. (2011) observed plateaus at intermediate allele frequencies in their yeast experimental evolution study, suggesting a reduction in selection coefficients. Such reductions in selection coefficients have been modeled by Illingworth et al. (2012), and plateaus in allele frequencies were also observed in D. melanogaster laboratory adaptation (Orozco-Terwengel et al. 2012). We observed 4000 sites across the entire genome that became fixed in the selected populations but were polymorphic in the ancestral and control populations. In comparison, only 1000 sites fixed in the control populations but remained polymorphic in the ancestral and selected populations. Given the large effective population sizes in the S and C populations in every generation (N > 1,000), the list of fixed sites in the S populations likely resulted from selection instead of drift, and may serve as candidate sites for immune defense variation.

In the E&R study and in the QTL Mapping study, we saw evidence of sexual dimorphism in immune defense. A better understanding of what leads to sexual dimorphism in immune defense may guide the use of B. bassiana in biological control efforts that may benefit from targeting female insects. Previous studies have suggested that D. melanogaster females are more susceptible to infection with B. bassiana infection compared to males (Taylor and Kimbrell 2007; Kubiak and Tinsley 2017; Shahrestani et al. 2018). Using the same B. bassiana ARSEF 12460 pathogen, we previously found that female flies were more susceptible to infection than male flies in inbred fly lines (Shahrestani et al. 2018), and this direction of sexual dimorphism was maintained whether the flies were sprayed or injected with the fungus, suggesting that grooming and barrier defenses were not fully responsible for sexual dimorphism in defense.

In our E&R study, there was sexual dimorphism in immune defense against B. bassiana only in the rate of evolution of cross-resistance to GHA, which evolved faster in males than females. It is unclear to us why cross-resistance should evolve faster in males. In our QTL mapping study, we found that the presence and direction of sexual dimorphism in immune defense is dependent on fly genotype. The host genetic factors that affect the direction and magnitude of sexual dimorphism in immune defense remain a topic to investigate. One common hypothesis for sexual dimorphism in immune defense is differential reproductive investment of males and females leading to different resource allocation (reviewed in Schwenke et al. 2016). We do not have data for the reproductive output of the RILs used in this study, but it would be interesting to compare fecundity with sexual dimorphism in immune defense. Given the variation in both direction and magnitude of the observed sexual dimorphism, it is possible that several mechanisms can be involved in this trait. Despite the sexual dimorphism in defense, there was a positive correlation in 10-day survival of infection of males and females among the RILs.

Overall, we have shown that immune defense against a fungal pathogen is highly variable in D. melanogaster derived from natural populations. This variability allows rapid adaption in response to experimental selection, albeit at a cost to uninfected longevity. The presence of such extensive naturally occurring genetic variation suggests considerable adaptive potential in nature, although perhaps buffered by costs and tradeoffs. Notably, the variation appears to be distributed among multiple genes with modest allelic effects and no clear enrichment of functional gene categories. Nevertheless, using two different experimental approaches, we have identified a set of potentially causal genes that may be promising candidates for future study.
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