Instructor
LAZZARO, B.

Gender
Male 6
Female 5
Declined 1

Student Year
Freshmen 0
Sophomores 0
Juniors 0
Seniors 1
Grad Students 11
Declined 0

School or College
Ag & Life Science 8
Architecture 0
Arts & Sciences 1
Engineering 0
Hotel 0
Human Ecology 0
ILR 0
Unclassified/ExMu 0
Graduate School 3
Declined 0

Course Intended/Required for Major
Yes 2
No 9
Undecided 0
Declined 1

Approximate Grade in Course
A 1
B 4
C 5
Declined 1

Approximate Cum Average
4.0 0
2.5 0
1.0 1
n/a 2
Declined 0

Average is:
A 9
B 1
C 3
Declined 3

Reason for Taking Course
Required for Major 1
Has Great Reputation 1
Required for Grad Work 2
Other 0
Subject Matter of Interest 11

After taking this course, I feel more comfortable tackling complex issues.
4.6700 0.4900

I would recommend this course to a fellow student.
4.8300 0.3900

The instructor did not seem to enjoy teaching.
1.0800 0.2900
### Course Evaluation

**Course**  
ENTOM 470 LEC 01  

**Instructor**  
LAZZARO, B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completely Disagree</th>
<th>Completely Agree</th>
<th>No Resp.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resp. 1</td>
<td>Resp. 2</td>
<td>Resp. 3</td>
<td>Resp. 4</td>
<td>Resp. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. The instructor was readily available for consultation with students.
20. The instructor did not treat students fairly.
21. The course helped me develop the ability to think through a problem or argument.
22. The instructor stimulated class discussion.
23. This course did not challenge me intellectually.
24. I would rather not take another course from this instructor.
25. In comparison to all the other instructors I've had, he/she was one of the best.
26. This teacher deserves an overall rating of:  
   - 1 = very poor instructor  
   - 5 = excellent instructor
   
27. This course deserves an overall rating of:  
   - 1 = very poor course  
   - 5 = excellent course

### Average Scores on Questions Relating To:

- **Teaching Skills:** 4.73
- **Knowledge of Subject Matter:** 4.36
- **Classroom Climate:** 4.75
- **Overall:** 4.58

Averages are calculated based on a scale of:  
1 = least favorable  
5 = most favorable
**Student Comments**

I enjoyed discussion very much. The papers were great for pulling the concepts together and demonstrating their applications. I would have liked to have learned more about coevolution, as well as more real-life applications of all of these concepts (more discussion papers).

I wondered if an out-of-class project might be used to replace the mid-term. Since many of us are taking this class as it directly relates to our research it would be useful to have an opportunity to design our own experiment/study integrating concepts from class. It could be done in the form of a proposal w/ background, methods, proposed results/exploration of future directions. This might give a more practical hands-on feel.

Discussion section: I **loved** the discussion sections + found them extremely helpful. Paper choice was excellent + Punita was a great facilitator + I learned a lot. Balance of material: I thought he course material was well-balanced. I would recommend (1) requiring genetics as a prereq and (2) not spending as much time on the “intro” part. Then we could spend more time on cool stuff like genomics. Impressed w/ the diversity, though, overall + really liked this course.

Balance of material: There seemed to be a lot of overlap w/ Pop Gen. I guess some of that is necessary, thought, to approach some of the material in this class

Great course! Both Punita and Brian very helpful and knowledgeable. Thanks!

- I enjoyed the discussions a great deal. They provided a great opportunity to get into some real data and discuss some of the ideas from lecture in more depth. The papers were generally interesting too which was good for general knowledge of biology.
- I thought he course covered a lot of material that I was interested in. More than anything it offered great breadth and examples from many systems. Maybe a bit more synthesis between subjects would have been helpful. In addition to touching on many relevant subjects, tying them together in more depth would have be unique and helpful.